



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1 PROJECT DEVELOPMENT ..... 2

ES.2 PROJECT EVALUATION AND PRIORITIZATION..... 3

ES.3 ASSUMPTIONS ..... 4

ES.4 20-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN..... 5

ES.5 SIGNIFICANT SERVICE AREA PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS..... 7

    ES.5.1 Volume 2—Wastewater ..... 7

    ES.5.2 Volume 3—Stormwater and Drainage..... 12

    ES.5.3 Volume 4—Ohio River Flood Protection System ..... 16

    ES.5.4 Volume 5—Property ..... 17

ES.6 FINANCE ..... 18

    ES.6.1 Revenue Requirements and Rates..... 18

    ES.6.2 Rate Projections..... 19

    ES.6.3 Rate Relief..... 19

ES.7 SUMMARY..... 19

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE ..... 1

1.2 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS..... 3

1.3 20-YEAR COMPREHENSIVE FACILITY PLAN REPORT ORGANIZATION ..... 4

    1.3.1 Volume 1—Programmatic and Integrating Information ..... 4

    1.3.2 Volume 2—Wastewater Collection and Treatment ..... 5

    1.3.3 Volume 3—Stormwater and Drainage..... 5

    1.3.4 Volume 4—Ohio River Flood Protection System ..... 5

    1.3.5 Volume 5—Property ..... 5

CHAPTER 2: COMMUNICATIONS AND OUTREACH

2.1 STAKEHOLDER GROUPS ..... 1

    2.1.1 Internal..... 1

    2.1.2 Wet Weather Team ..... 5

2.2 PUBLIC OUTREACH DURING PLAN DEVELOPMENT ..... 10

    2.2.1 Public Meetings..... 10

    2.2.2 Advertising and Print Media ..... 11

    2.2.3 Electronic and Social Media..... 13

    2.2.4 Other Events ..... 13

2.3 REGULATORS..... 13

2.4 ELECTED OFFICIALS ..... 13

2.5 LOUISVILLE AND JEFFERSON COUNTY METROPOLITAN SEWER DISTRICT BOARD..... 14

2.6 COMMUNITY CONVERSATION..... 14



2.6.1	Overview .....	14
2.6.2	Community Conversation Approach.....	16
2.6.3	Community Engagement .....	20
2.6.4	Community Input .....	24
2.6.5	Community Conversation Summary.....	27
<b>CHAPTER 3: PROJECT EVALUATION AND PRIORITIZATION</b>		
3.1	COST ESTIMATING .....	1
3.1.1	Capital Costs.....	1
3.1.2	Operating Costs.....	2
3.1.3	Life-Cycle Costs .....	2
3.2	COMMUNITY VALUES .....	3
3.2.1	Value Identification Process .....	3
3.2.2	Project-Specific Values.....	4
3.3	BENEFIT/COST DETERMINATION .....	5
3.4	INCORPORATION OF RISK REDUCTION IN PRIORITIZATION PROCESS.....	8
3.4.1	Probability of Failure.....	9
3.4.2	Consequence of Failure Analysis .....	10
3.4.3	Scoring Approach.....	11
3.5	APPLICATION OF BEST PROFESSIONAL JUDGEMENT.....	11
3.6	ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT .....	12
3.6.1	Purpose .....	12
3.6.2	Post-Construction Performance Monitoring .....	12
3.6.3	Annual Capital Improvement Plan Review .....	13
3.6.4	Comprehensive Update of the Plan.....	15
<b>CHAPTER 4: RECOMMENDED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS</b>		
4.1	20-YEAR COMPREHENSIVE FACILITY PLAN RECOMMENDED PROJECTS .....	1
4.1.1	Recommended Stormwater and Drainage Capital Projects .....	1
4.1.2	Recommended Stormwater and Drainage Renewal and Replacement Projects .....	2
4.1.3	Recommended Wastewater Capital Projects .....	3
4.1.4	Recommended Wastewater Renewal and Replacement Projects .....	4
4.1.5	Recommended Property and Support Systems Capital Projects.....	4
4.2	AMENDED CONSENT DECREE-RELATED PROJECTS.....	6
4.3	TOTAL PROPOSED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS.....	7
<b>CHAPTER 5: SCHEDULE</b>		
5.1	CRITERIA AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS .....	1
5.2	SCHEDULE .....	2
5.3	CASH FLOW.....	2
5.3.1	Capital .....	2
5.3.2	Wastewater Collection and Treatment .....	5
5.3.3	Stormwater and Ohio River Flood Protection .....	5




---

5.3.4	Property and Support Systems .....	6
<b>CHAPTER 6: COST OF SERVICE AND RATES</b>		
6.1	BACKGROUND .....	1
6.2	SERVICE AREA CHARACTERISTICS .....	1
6.3	EXISTING RATE STRUCTURE .....	2
6.3.1	Service Charges .....	3
6.3.2	Volume Charges .....	4
6.3.3	Water Quality Surcharges .....	4
6.3.4	Consent Decree Charges .....	4
6.3.5	Stormwater Charges .....	5
6.4	HISTORICAL RATE INCREASES AND SERVICE CHARGE REVENUES .....	5
6.5	COST OF SERVICE METHODOLOGY .....	12
6.6	SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS .....	15
6.7	CUSTOMER CHARACTERISTICS .....	20
6.8	RATE BASE .....	23
6.9	REVENUE REQUIREMENTS .....	31
6.10	COST OF SERVICE ALLOCATIONS .....	40
6.11	DEVELOPMENT OF COST SERVICE RATES .....	50
6.11.1	Service Charge .....	50
6.11.2	Volume Charge .....	53
6.11.3	Consent Decree Surcharge .....	54
6.11.4	Water Quality Surcharges .....	55
6.12	COMPARISON OF RATES EFFECTIVE AUGUST 1, 2013 AND COST OF SERVICE RATES .....	56
6.13	BILL IMPACT .....	63
6.14	AFFORDABILITY .....	63
6.14.1	Income Distribution .....	63
6.14.2	Typical Residential Bill as Percent of Median Household Income .....	64
6.14.3	Rate Relief Programs .....	66
6.15	RECOMMENDED RATE STRUCTURE .....	67
6.15.1	Water Quality Charge for Nitrogen and Phosphorus .....	67
6.15.2	Flood Protection Charge .....	68
6.15.3	Wastewater Service Charge .....	69
6.16	FINANCIAL PLAN .....	70
6.16.1	Assumptions .....	70
6.16.2	Projected Capital Requirements .....	71
6.16.3	Projected Operating Expenses .....	75
6.16.4	Non-Operating Revenues .....	75
6.16.5	Projected Operating Revenue Requirements .....	76
6.16.6	Projected Working Capital and Rate Adjustments .....	77
<b>CHAPTER 7: IMPLEMENTATION PLAN</b>		
7.1	OPERATIONAL PLAN .....	1



7.1.1	Capital Delivery .....	1
7.1.2	Operations and Maintenance .....	1
7.2	COMPLIANCE MONITORING .....	2

REFERENCES

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Appendixes (provided on CD)

- Appendix 1.A Wastewater Technical Workshop Meeting Minutes
- Appendix 1.B Stormwater Technical Workshop Meeting Minutes
- Appendix 1.C Ohio River Flood Protection Workshop Meeting Minutes
- Appendix 1.D Property Workshop Meeting Minutes
- Appendix 1.E Wet-Weather Team Meeting Materials
- Appendix 1.F Status Update

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.2-1. Sample Highlight Sheet
- Figure 1.2-2. February/March Bill Insert
- Figure 1.2-3 Facility Plan Social Media Analytics
- Figure 1.2-4 MSD Website Analytics
- Figure 1.2-5. Community Input Form
  
- Figure 1.3-1. Value Weighting for Wastewater Projects
- Figure 1.3-2. Value Weighting for Stormwater Projects
- Figure 1.3-3. Value Weighting for Flood Protection Projects
- Figure 1.3-4. Value Example Risk-Scoring Matrix
  
- Figure 1.5-1. Recommended Overall Capital Improvement Plan
- Figure 1.5-2. Recommended Wastewater Capital Improvement Plan
- Figure 1.5-3. Recommended Stormwater and Ohio River Flood Protection Capital Improvement Plan
- Figure 1.5-4. Recommended Property and Support Facilities Capital Improvement Plan
  
- Figure 1.6-1. Summary of Planned Versus Actual Rate Increase for the Period 2010 to 2015
- Figure 1.6-2. FY2014 Revenues by Customer Type (thousands of dollars)
- Figure 1.6-3. FY2014 Revenues by Rate Component (thousands of dollars)
- Figure 1.6-4. Data Flow Framework for Cost of Service Allocations and Rate Design
- Figure 1.6-5. Summary of Design Water Quality Treatment Center Capacities
- Figure 1.6-6. Total Flows Received at MSD’s Water Quality Treatment Centers during FY2014
- Figure 1.6-7. Total Estimated Total Suspended Solids Loadings (pounds) Received at MSD’s Water Quality Treatment Centers in FY2014
- Figure 1.6-8. Total Estimated Biochemical Oxygen Demand Loadings (pounds) Received at MSD’s Water Quality Treatment Centers during FY2014

- Figure 1.6-9. Net Revenue Requirements Before Reallocation of Infiltration and Inflow Costs (Test Year = FY2014)
- Figure 1.6-10. Distribution of Net Revenue Requirements by Cost Type (Test Year = FY2014)
- Figure 1.6-11. Net Revenue Requirements After Reallocation of Costs (Test Year = FY2014)
- Figure 1.6-12. Income Distribution by Age of Householder
- Figure 1.6-13. Percent of Households and Bill as Percent of Income Level
- Figure 1.6-14. Projected Facility Plan Capital Improvement Program and Recommendations
- Figure 1.6-15. Existing Debt Service (P+I) on Senior and Subordinate Obligations (Net of Capitalized Interest)
- Figure 1.6-16. Projected Equity (Cash) versus Bond Funding for Capital Improvement Program Spending (FY2017 to FY2036)
- Figure 1.6-17. Projected Debt Service (P+I) on Senior Obligations (net of Capitalized Interest)
- Figure 1.6-18. Projected Operating Expenditures FY2017 to FY2036
- Figure 1.6-19. Projected Nonoperating Revenues FY 2017 to FY 2036
- Figure 1.6-20. Projected Operating Revenue Requirements FY2017 to FY2036

#### LIST OF TABLES

- Table ES-1. Recommended 20-Year Capital Improvement Plan Summary
- Table ES-2. Recommended 5-Year Capital Improvement Plan Summary
- Table 1.2-1. Wastewater Technical Workshops
- Table 1.2-2. Stormwater and Drainage Technical Workshops
- Table 1.2-3. Flood Protection System Technical Workshops
- Table 1.2-4. Property and Facilities Technical Workshops
- Table 1.2-5. Project Managers and Project Coordination Meeting Participants
- Table 1.2-6. Wet Weather Team Membership (as of November 1, 2016)
- Table 1.2-7. Public Meetings
- Table 1.2-8. Board and Board Committee Meetings
- Table 1.2-9. Critical Repair and Reinvestment Plan Summary of Community Risks
- Table 1.3-1. Project-Specific Values and Aspects
- Table 1.3-2. Weight of Aspects for Project Specific Values
- Table 1.4-1 Facility Plan CIP Recommendation Summary
- Table 1.5-1. Facility Plan Capital Improvement Plan Recommendation Summary
- Table 1.6-1. Wastewater Service Charges (costs per bill) Effective August 1, 2014
- Table 1.6-2. Historical Monthly Wastewater Service Charges (costs per bill)
- Table 1.6-3. Historical Bimonthly Wastewater Service Charges (costs per bill)
- Table 1.6-4. Historical Volume Charges (costs per 1,000 gallons)



---

Table 1.6-5. Historical U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Consent Decree Surcharges  
Table 1.6-6. Historical Water Quality Charges (costs per pound)  
Table 1.6-7. Historical Drainage-Stormwater Charges (\$/ESU)  
Table 1.6-8. Historical Revenues from Rates and Charges (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-9. Monthly Flows (million gallons) Received at Water Quality Treatment Centers in FY2014  
Table 1.6-10. Monthly Average Total Suspended Solids Concentrations (mg/L) received at the Water Quality Treatment Centers in FY2014  
Table 1.6-11. Estimated Total Suspended Solids Loadings (pounds) Received at the Water Quality Treatment Centers in FY2014  
Table 1.6-12. Monthly Average Biochemical Oxygen Demand Concentrations (mg/L) received at the Water Quality Treatment Centers in FY2014  
Table 1.6-13. Estimated Biochemical Oxygen Demand Loadings (pounds) Received at the Water Quality Treatment Centers in FY2014  
Table 1.6-14. Customer Count by Connection Size, Account Type, and Bill Cycle  
Table 1.6-15. Billed Sewer Flows (million gallons) by Rate Category by Account Type  
Table 1.6-16. Estimated Biochemical Oxygen Demand Loadings (pounds) by Rate Category by Account Type  
Table 1.6-17. Estimated Total Suspended Solids Loadings (pounds) by Rate Category by Account Type  
Table 1.6-18. Estimated Equivalent Service Units and Impervious Area  
Table 1.6-19. Summary of Gross Plant in Service for FY2014  
Table 1.6-20. Summary of Accumulated Depreciation  
Table 1.6-21. Classification of Plant in Service to Cost Components  
Table 1.6-22. Allocation of Rate Base to Cost Components (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-23. Test Year Operations and Maintenance Costs Allocated by Cost Components (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-24. Test Year Debt Service Costs Allocated by Cost Components (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-25. Test Year Non-rate Revenues Allocated by Cost Components (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-26. Allocation of Revenue Requirements by Cost Components and Unit Cost of Service (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-27. Units of Service by Customer Class  
Table 1.6-28. Revenue Requirements Before Reallocation of Infiltration/Inflow (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-29. Revenue Requirements After Reallocation of Infiltration/Inflow (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-30. Revenue Requirements After Reallocation of Consent Decree Costs (Thousands of Dollars)  
Table 1.6-31. Equivalent Meters by Customer Type  
Table 1.6-32. Development of Service Charge per Equivalent Meter  
Table 1.6-33. Schedule of Service Charges  
Table 1.6-34. Volume Charge Cost of Service Rates  
Table 1.6-35. Consent Decree Surcharge Rate Design  
Table 1.6-36. Biochemical Oxygen Demand Water Quality Surcharge Rate Design



Table 1.6-37. Total Suspended Solids Water Quality Surcharge Rate Design  
Table 1.6-38. Comparison of Service Charges  
Table 1.6-39. Comparison of Volume Charges  
Table 1.6-40. Comparison of Consent Decree Surcharges  
Table 1.6-41. Comparison of Biochemical Oxygen Demand Water Quality Surcharges  
Table 1.6-42. Comparison of Total Suspended Solids Water Quality Surcharges  
Table 1.6-43. Comparison of Drainage-Stormwater and Proposed Flood Protection Charges  
Table 1.6-44. Drainage-Stormwater Charge without Proposed Flood Protection Charges  
Table 1.6-45. Comparison of Typical Residential Bill  
Table 1.6-46. Median Household Income by Age of Householder  
Table 1.6-47. Typical Residential Bill as Percent of Income Bracket  
Table 1.6-48. Funding Requirements for Rate Relief  
Table 1.6-49. Wastewater Service Charges Effective August 1, 2016  
Table 1.6-50. Facility Plan Capital Improvement Program Recommendations  
Table 1.6-51. MSD 20-Year Facility Plan Recommended Rate and Financial Summary (Millions of Dollars)

Table 1.7-1a. Incremental Operating Cost (FY2018 through FY2027)  
Table 1.7-1b. Incremental Operating Cost (FY2028 through FY2036)  
Table 1.7-2a. Incremental Operating Staff (FY2017 through FY2026)  
Table 1.7-2b. Incremental Operating Staff (FY2027 through FY2036)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	BACKGROUND .....	1
ES.2	GAP ANALYSIS .....	2
	ES.2.1 Regulatory Forecast.....	2
	ES.2.2 Flow Projections .....	3
	ES.2.3 Asset Performance and Regulatory Compliance.....	3
	ES.2.4 Operating Program Review .....	3
	ES.2.5 Establish Minimum Capacities .....	3
	ES.2.6 Summary .....	10
ES.3	ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT.....	11
	ES.3.1 Utility Resiliency Considerations.....	12
	ES.3.2 Microconstituent Planning.....	12
	ES.3.3 Level of Protection Discussions.....	12
	ES.3.4 Water Quality Treatment Center Site Space Planning.....	13
ES.4	ALTERNATIVE EVALUATION .....	14
ES.5	RECOMMENDED PLAN.....	14

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1	PURPOSE AND SCOPE .....	1
1.2	CURRENT REGULATORY DRIVERS .....	1
	1.2.1 Clean Water Act (1972).....	2
	1.2.2 Wastewater and Stormwater Discharge Regulations (2013).....	2
	1.2.3 Beargrass Creek Bacteria Total Maximum Daily Load (2011) .....	2
	1.2.4 Floyds Fork Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Load (Under Development).....	2
	1.2.5 Draft Ohio River Bacteria Total Maximum Daily Load (2014) .....	3
	1.2.6 Water Quality Treatment Center Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permits .....	3
	1.2.7 Water Quality Treatment Center Monthly Discharge Reports .....	10
	1.2.8 Biosolids Use Permits .....	10
	1.2.9 Air Quality Permits .....	11
	1.2.10 Regulatory Impact on Planning .....	12
1.3	OPERATING PROGRAMS .....	12
	1.3.1 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (2006, updated annually) .....	12
	1.3.2 System Capacity Assurance Plan (Developed in 2008, Updated in 2014).....	13
	1.3.3 Nine Minimum Controls (Developed in 2006, Updated annually).....	13
	1.3.4 Sewer Overflow Response Protocol (Developed in 2011, and Updated in 2012) .....	13
	1.3.5 Watershed Assessment Tool (2013) .....	14
	1.3.6 Design Manual (2015), Standard Drawings (2013), AutoCAD Sample Drawings (2015). 14	





1.3.7	Strategic Business Plan (2014b) .....	14
1.3.8	Real-Time Control Documents and Operating Plans.....	15
1.3.9	Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Survey Program Review.....	15
1.4	<b>CAPITAL PROJECT PLANNING</b> .....	16
1.4.1	Salt River Watershed Regionalization Feasibility Study (2015a).....	17
1.4.2	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center Nutrient Study (2010) .....	17
1.4.3	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center Nutrient Study (2012) .....	18
1.4.4	Floyds Fork Biological Phosphorus Removal Conversion Study (2014a).....	18
1.4.5	Floyds Fork Facilities Plan (2010) .....	18
1.4.6	Hite Creek Facilities Plan (2014a).....	18
1.4.7	Upper Pond Creek Facilities Plan (1989) .....	19
1.4.8	Derek R. Guthrie Facilities Plan (Draft) .....	19
1.4.9	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Filter Upgrade Study (2014b).....	19
1.4.10	Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Filter Upgrade Study (2015) .....	19
1.4.11	Sewer System Evaluation Studies (Various) .....	19
1.4.12	Regional Water Quality Treatment Center Solids Handling Study – Sludge Management Plan (Hazen and Sawyer, 2014b).....	20
1.4.13	Pump Station Critical Capacity Assessment (2014).....	20
1.4.14	Identified Capital Projects .....	20
<b>CHAPTER 2: SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION</b>		
2.1	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area.....	1
2.1.1	Collection System .....	1
2.1.2	Conveyance Infrastructure.....	2
2.1.3	Combined Sewer Overflow Controls (including Real-time Control) .....	2
2.1.4	Combined Sewer Overflow Weirs, Overflow Points and Outfall Sewers .....	11
2.1.5	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center .....	12
2.1.6	Biosolids Management and Disposal .....	19
2.1.7	Backup Power .....	20
2.1.8	Odor Control.....	22
2.2	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area .....	27
2.2.1	Collection System .....	27
2.2.2	Conveyance Infrastructure.....	31
2.2.3	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center .....	32
2.2.4	Biosolids Management and Disposal .....	37
2.2.5	Backup Power .....	37
2.2.6	Odor Control.....	38
2.3	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area .....	43
2.3.1	Collection System .....	43
2.3.2	Conveyance Infrastructure.....	47
2.3.3	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center .....	49
2.3.4	Biosolids Management and Disposal .....	53
2.3.5	Backup Power .....	53



	2.3.6	Odor Control.....	54
2.4		Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area.....	59
	2.4.1	Collection System.....	59
	2.4.2	Conveyance Infrastructure.....	63
	2.4.3	Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center.....	64
	2.4.4	Biosolids Management and Disposal.....	68
	2.4.5	Backup Power.....	68
	2.4.6	Odor Control.....	69
2.5		Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area.....	73
	2.5.1	Collection System.....	73
	2.5.2	Conveyance Infrastructure.....	77
	2.5.3	Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center.....	78
	2.5.4	Biosolids Management and Disposal.....	83
	2.5.5	Backup Power.....	83
	2.5.6	Odor Control.....	84
2.6		Instrumentation and Control.....	89
	2.6.1	Control.....	89
	2.6.2	Analytical.....	89
	2.6.3	Closed Conduit Flow Measurements.....	90
	2.6.4	Open Channel Flow Measurements.....	91
	2.6.5	Level Measurements.....	91

**CHAPTER 3: CONDITION ASSESSMENT**

3.1		MORRIS FORMAN WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA.....	1
	3.1.1	Collection System.....	2
	3.1.2	Conveyance Infrastructure.....	6
	3.1.3	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center.....	10
	3.1.4	Odor Control.....	21
3.2		DEREK R. GUTHRIE WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA.....	21
	3.2.1	Collection System—Sewers and Interceptors.....	21
	3.2.2	Conveyance Infrastructure.....	25
	3.2.3	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center.....	33
	3.2.4	Backup Power.....	34
	3.2.5	Instrumentation and Control.....	34
	3.2.6	Odor Control.....	44
3.3		HITE CREEK WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA.....	47
	3.3.1	Collection System—Sewers and Interceptors.....	47
	3.3.2	Conveyance Infrastructure.....	48
	3.3.3	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center.....	50
	3.3.4	Backup Power.....	58
	3.3.5	Instrumentation and Control.....	58
	3.3.6	Odor Control.....	59
3.4		FLOYDS FORK WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA.....	61



3.4.1	Collection System—Sewers and Interceptors .....	61
3.4.2	Conveyance Infrastructure .....	62
3.4.3	Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center .....	67
3.4.4	Backup Power .....	67
3.4.5	Instrumentation and Control .....	74
3.4.6	Odor Control.....	74
3.5	CEDAR CREEK WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA .....	77
3.5.1	Collection System—Sewers and Interceptors .....	77
3.5.2	Conveyance Infrastructure .....	78
3.5.3	Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center.....	80
3.5.4	Backup Power .....	86
3.5.5	Instrumentation and Control .....	86
3.5.6	Odor Control.....	89
<b>CHAPTER 4: ASSET PERFORMANCE AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE</b>		
4.1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
4.2	MORRIS FORMAN WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA .....	1
4.2.1	Combined Sewer Overflow Controls (including Real-time Control) .....	1
4.2.2	Sanitary Sewer System .....	4
4.2.3	Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Compliance.....	17
4.2.4	Biosolids Production.....	18
4.2.5	Odor Control.....	19
4.3	DEREK R. GUTHRIE WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA .....	23
4.3.1	Sanitary Sewer System .....	23
4.3.2	Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Compliance.....	27
4.3.3	Odor Control.....	27
4.4	HITE CREEK WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA.....	28
4.4.1	Sanitary Sewer System .....	28
4.4.2	Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Compliance.....	28
4.4.3	Odor Control.....	28
4.5	FLOYDS FORK WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA .....	35
4.5.1	Sanitary Sewer System .....	35
4.5.2	Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Compliance.....	37
4.5.3	Odor Control.....	37
4.6	CEDAR CREEK WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTER SERVICE AREA .....	38
4.6.1	Sanitary Sewer System .....	38
4.6.2	Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Compliance.....	38
4.6.3	Odor Control.....	38
4.7	SYSTEMWIDE ASSETS .....	45
4.7.1	Backup Power .....	45
4.7.2	Instrumentation and Controls.....	46



CHAPTER 5: FUTURE EVALUATION FACTORS

5.1	OPERATING PROGRAMS REVIEW .....	1
5.1.1	Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance.....	1
5.1.2	Sewer Overflow Response Protocol.....	4
5.1.3	Nine Minimum Controls.....	8
5.2	FUTURE REGULATORY DRIVERS .....	16
5.2.1	Federal Drivers .....	16
5.2.2	State Drivers.....	17
5.2.3	Local Drivers.....	18
5.2.4	Changes to Water Quality Standards.....	18
5.2.5	Other Regulatory Initiatives .....	25
5.2.6	Changes to Total Maximum Daily Loads .....	26
5.2.7	Changes to Biosolids Disposal Criteria .....	27
5.2.8	Changes to Air Quality Regulations.....	28
5.2.9	Enforcement Priorities .....	29
5.3	FLOW PROJECTIONS.....	29
5.3.1	Overview of University of Louisville Data .....	30
5.3.2	Flow Projections.....	30
5.3.3	Comparison of Projections to Past Plans and Water Quality Treatment Center Capacity 39	
5.4	PRIVATE PROPERTY INFILTRATION AND INFLOW REDUCTIONS.....	45
5.4.1	Introduction.....	45
5.4.2	Inspection and Removal Methods .....	45
5.4.3	Legal and Policy Considerations.....	47
5.4.4	Public Outreach.....	50
5.4.5	Funding Alternatives .....	50
5.4.6	Resources .....	51
5.4.7	Conclusion.....	51
5.5	UTILITY RESILIENCY EVALUATION .....	51
5.5.1	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area .....	52
5.5.2	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area .....	55
5.5.3	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area .....	58
5.5.4	Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area.....	60
5.5.5	Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area.....	62
5.6	MINIMUM CAPACITIES FOR CRITICAL FACILITIES .....	64
5.6.1	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center and Service Area .....	65
5.6.2	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center and Service Area .....	68
5.6.3	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center and Service Area .....	70
5.6.4	Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center and Service Area.....	72
5.6.5	Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center and Service Area.....	74
5.7	MICROCONSTITUENT PLANNING .....	75
5.7.1	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center .....	76



	5.7.2	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center .....	76
	5.7.3	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center .....	77
	5.7.4	Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center .....	78
	5.7.5	Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center.....	78
5.8		SPACE NEEDS PLANNING.....	79
	5.8.1	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center .....	79
	5.8.2	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center .....	79
	5.8.3	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center .....	80
	5.8.4	Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center .....	81
	5.8.5	Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center.....	81
	5.8.6	Future Southeast Jefferson County Water Quality Treatment Center .....	82

**CHAPTER 6: GAP ANALYSIS**

6.1	PROJECTS BY SERVICE AREA .....		1
	6.1.1	Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area .....	1
	6.1.2	Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area .....	8
	6.1.3	Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area .....	11
	6.1.4	Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center Projects.....	14
	6.1.5	Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area.....	17
	6.1.6	Other Projects .....	21
	6.1.7	Summary of Projects by Service Area .....	26
6.2		PROJECT DEFINITION AND ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT .....	27
	6.2.1	Projects Defined by Existing Studies That Are Still Appropriate .....	28
	6.2.2	Projects Defined by Existing Studies That Need to Be Reevaluated .....	35
	6.2.3	New Projects Identified Through Gap Analysis .....	35
	6.2.4	Summary of Gap Analysis.....	46
6.3		NEW PROJECTS SORTED BY PURPOSE.....	51
	6.3.1	Growth .....	51
	6.3.2	Regulations.....	52
	6.3.3	Improved Efficiencies .....	54
	6.3.4	Over and Above Level of Protection .....	55
	6.3.5	Asset Maintenance and Renewal .....	59
	6.3.6	Summary of Projects by Purpose .....	63

**CHAPTER 7: ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS**

7.1	INTRODUCTION.....		1
7.2		COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW CONTROLS, WEIRS, OVERFLOW POINTS, AND OUTFALL SEWERS	1
7.3		COLLECTION SYSTEM .....	1
	7.3.1	Utility Resiliency Consideration Alternatives .....	1
	7.3.2	Changes in Level of Protection Alternatives .....	2
7.4		CONVEYANCE INFRASTRUCTURE .....	2
	7.4.1	Utility Resiliency Consideration Alternatives .....	2
	7.4.2	Changes in Level-of-Protection Alternatives.....	7



7.5	WATER QUALITY TREATMENT CENTERS .....	12
7.5.1	Utility Resiliency Consideration Alternatives .....	12
7.5.2	Changes in Level-of-Protection Alternatives.....	13
7.6	BIOSOLIDS MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL .....	13
7.7	BACKUP POWER.....	13
7.7.1	Utility Resiliency Consideration Alternatives .....	13
7.7.2	Changes in Level of Protection Alternatives .....	13
7.8	INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL .....	14
7.9	ODOR CONTROL.....	14
7.9.1	Utility Resiliency Consideration Alternatives .....	14
7.9.2	Change in Level of Protection Alternatives.....	14

**CHAPTER 8: RECOMMENDED PROGRAM ENHANCEMENTS, PROJECTS, AND PRIORITIZATION**

8.1	RECOMMENDED OPERATING PROGRAM ENHANCEMENTS.....	1
8.1.1	Capacity, Management, Operations, and Maintenance .....	1
8.1.2	Sewer Overflow Response Protocol.....	1
8.1.3	Nine Minimum Controls .....	2
8.1.4	Summary of Recommend Operating Program Enhancements and Costs .....	3
8.2	RECOMMENDED PROJECTS AND PRIORITIZATION .....	5
8.2.1	Projects Not Subject to Prioritization.....	5
8.2.2	Project Prioritization .....	14
8.3	FUTURE PROJECTS.....	15

**REFERENCES**

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**Attachments**

Attachment 1. Morris Forman WQTC 50-Year Look Ahead

Attachment 2. Project Fact Sheets

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure ES-1. Summary of Projects within 20-Year Planning Period by Service Area

Figure ES-2. Summary of within 20-Year Planning Period b Purpose Budget

Figure 2.2-1. Morris Forman Service Area Collection and Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-2. Morris Forman Service Area Combined Sewer Assets

Figure 2.2-3. Morris Forman Service Area Combined Sewer Overflow Locations

Figure 2.2-4. Morris Forman Site Layout Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-5. Morris Forman WQTC Solids Processing Schematic

Figure 2.2-6. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Odor Control Assets

Figure 2.2-7. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-8. Derek R. Guthrie Site Layout Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-9. Derek R. Guthrie WQYC Service Area Odor Control Assets

Figure 2.2-10. Hite Creek Service Area Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-11. Hite Creek Site Layout Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-12. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Odor Control Assets

Figure 2.2-13. Floyds Fork Service Area Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-14. Floyds Fork Site Layout Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-15. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Odor Control Assets

Figure 2.2-16. Cedar Creek Service Area Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-17. Cedar Creek Site Layout Collection & Conveyance System

Figure 2.2-18. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Odor Control Assets

Figure 2.4-1. Combined Sewer Overflow Monitoring Trends

Figure 2.4-2 - Combined Sewer Overflow Volume Trends

Figure 2.4-3 – Real-time Control-stored Wet-Weather Volume in the Morris Forman WQTC Service Area

Figure 2.4-4. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Odor Control Complaints, January 2011 through  
June 2015

Figure 2.4-5. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Odor Control Complaints, January 2011 through  
June 2015

Figure 4.2-6. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Odor Control Complaints, Januaruy 2011 through June 2015

Figure 2.4-7. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Odor Control Complaints, January 2011 through June 2015

Figure 2.4-8. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Odor Control Complaints, January 2011 through June 2015

Figure 2.5-1. Morris Forman Average Daily Flow Projections vs. Secondary Treatment Capacity

Figure 2.5-2. Derek R. Guthrie Flow Projections vs. Treatment Capacity (60 MGD, application pending  
approval)

Figure 2.5-3. Hite Creek Flow Projections vs. Treatment Capacity

Figure 2.5-4. Floyds Fork Flow Projections vs. Treatment Capacity

Figure 2.5-5. Cedar Creek Flow Projections vs. Treatment Capacity

Figure 2.6-1. Percentage of Project Budgets by Service Area

Figure 2.6-2. Number of Projects by Service Area

Figure 2.6-3. Number of Planned and New Projects

Figure 2.6-4. Summary of Gap Analysis by Project Counts

Figure 2.6-5. Summary of Gap Analysis by Project Budgets (All in 2016 \$)

Figure 2.6-6. Summary of Projects by Program Area

Figure 2.6-7. Summary of Projects by Program Area Budgets

Figure 2.6-8. Summary of Projects by System Area

Figure 2.6-9. Summary of Projects by System Area Budgets

Figure 2.6-10. Summary of Projects by Purpose

Figure 2.6-11. Summary of Projects by Purpose

## LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1. Morris Forman WQTC and Service Area Critical Components  
Table ES-2. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC and Service Area Critical Components  
Table ES-3. Hite Creek WQTC and Service Area Critical Components  
Table ES-4. Floyds Fork WQTC and Service Area Critical Components  
Table ES-5. Cedar Creek WQTC and Service Area Critical Components  
Table ES-6. Summary of Gap Analysis  
Table ES-7. Summary of Projects by Program Area  
Table ES-8. Summary of Projects by System Area  
Table ES-9. Summary of Process Improvements to Remove Microconstituents  
Table ES-10. Summary of WQTC Future Space Needs  
Table ES-11. Summary of Projects by Service Area  
Table ES-12. Recommended 20-year Wastewater Capital Improvement Plan (all costs are in \$2016)  
Table ES-13. Recommended Wastewater Projects – Beyond the 20-Year Plan  
Table ES-14. Non-Capital Recommendations

Table 2.1-1 Existing Morris Forman WQTC KPDES Permit Limits  
Table 2.1-2 Existing Derek R. Guthrie WQTC KPDES Permit Limits  
Table 2.1-3 Existing Hite Creek WQTC KPDES Permit Limits  
Table 2.1-4 Existing Floyds Fork WQTC KPDES Permit Limits  
Table 2.1-5 Existing Cedar Creek WQTC KPDES Permit Limits  
Table 2.1-6 WQTC Projects  
Table 2.1-7 Sewer Projects  
Table 2.1-8 Renewal and Replacement Projects  
Table 2.1-9 Planning Study Projects

Table 2.2-1. Sewer Pipes in the Morris Forman Service Area  
Table 2.2-2. Pump Stations in the Morris Forman Service Area  
Table 2.2-3. Current and Planned Real-time Control Facilities  
Table 2.2-4. Active Combined Sewer Overflows and Control Mechanisms  
Table 2.2-5. Morris Forman WQTC Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-6. Morris Forman WQTC Existing Treatment Process Unit Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-7. Louisville Green Yearly Distribution  
Table 2.2-8. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Backup Power  
Table 2.2-9. Morris Forman WQTC Existing Odor Control Systems  
Table 2.2-10. Morris Forman WQTC Collection System Odor Control Systems  
Table 2.2-11. Sewer Pipes in the Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area  
Table 2.2-12. Pump Stations in the Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area  
Table 2.2-13. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-14. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Existing Treatment Process Unit Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-15. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Backup Power



Table 2.2-16. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Odor Control Systems  
Table 2.2-17. Derek Guthrie WQTC Collection System Odor Control Systems  
Table 2.2-18. Sewer Pipes in the Hite Creek WQTC Service Area  
Table 2.2-19. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Pump Stations  
Table 2.2-20. Hite Creek WQTC Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-21. Hite Creek WQTC Existing Treatment Process Unit Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-22. Hite Creek WQTC Backup Power  
Table 2.2-23. Hite Creek WQTC Existing Odor Control Systems  
Table 2.2-24. Hite Creek WQTC Collection System Odor Control Systems  
Table 2.2-25. Sewer Pipes in the Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area  
Table 2.2-26. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Pump Stations  
Table 2.2-27. Floyds Fork WQTC Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-28. Floyds Fork WQTC Existing Treatment Process Unit Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-29. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Backup Power  
Table 2.2-30. Floyds Fork WQTC Collection System Odor Control Systems  
Table 2.2-31. Sewer Pipes in the Cedar Creek Service Area  
Table 2.2-32. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Pump Stations  
Table 2.2-33. Cedar Creek WQTC Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-34. Cedar Creek WQTC Existing Treatment Process Unit Design Capacity  
Table 2.2-35. Cedar Creek WQTC Influent Pump Station  
Table 2.2-36. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Backup Power  
Table 2.2-37. Cedar Creek WQTC Existing Odor Control System  
Table 2.2-38. Cedar Creek WQTC Collection System Odor Control Systems

Table 2.3-1. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Small-Diameter Sewer and Interceptor Rehabilitation  
50-Year Needs  
Table 2.3-2. Morris Forman WQTC Collection System Solids and Floatable Control Components  
Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-3. Morris Forman WQTC CSO Controls (including Real-time Control) Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-4. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Pump Station Types  
Table 2.3-5. Plantside Pump Station Process Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-6. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Pump Station Refurbishment Needs  
Table 2.3-7. Morris Forman WQTC Treatment Liquid Processes Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-8. Morris Forman WQTC Treatment Solids Processes Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-9. Morris Forman WQTC Odor Control Systems Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-10. Morris Forman Collection System Odor Control Systems Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-11. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Small-Diameter Sewer and Interceptor Rehabilitation  
50-Year Needs  
Table 2.3-12. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Pump Station Types  
Table 2.3-13. Shively Pump Station Mechanical Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-14. Shively Pump Station Electrical Condition Assessment



Table 2.3-15. Sunlight Pump Station Process Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-16. Sunlight Pump Station Electrical Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-17. Parkwood Pump Station Process Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-18. Parkwood Pump Station Electrical Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-19. Park Ridge Woods Pump Station Process Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-20. Park Ridge Woods Pump Station Electrical Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-21. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Pump Station Refurbishment Needs  
Table 2.3-22. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Treatment Process Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-23. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Backup Power Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-24. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Instrumentation and Control Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-25. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Odor Control Systems Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-26. Derek R. Guthrie Collection System Odor Control Systems Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-27. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Small-Diameter Sewer and Interceptor Rehabilitation  
50-Year Needs  
Table 2.3-28. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Pump Station Types  
Table 2.3-29. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Pump Station Refurbishment Needs  
Table 2.3-30. Hite Creek WQTC Treatment Process Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-31. Hite Creek WQTC Backup Power  
Table 2.3-32. Hite Creek WQTC Odor Control Systems Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-33. Hite Creek Collection System Odor Control Systems  
Table 2.3-34. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Small-Diameter Sewer and Interceptor Rehabilitation  
50-Year Needs  
Table 2.3-35. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Pump Station Types  
Table 2.3-36. Pope Lick Pump Station Mechanical Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-37. Pope Lick Pump Station Electrical Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-38. Floyd Fork WQTC Service Area Pump Station Refurbishment Needs  
Table 2.3-39. Floyds Fork WQTC Treatment Process Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-40. Floyds Fork WQTC and Service Area Backup Power Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-41. Floyds Fork WQTC Instrumentation and Control Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-42. Floyds Fork Collection System Odor Control Systems Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-43. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Small-Diameter Sewer and Interceptor Rehabilitation  
50-Year Needs  
Table 2.3-44. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Pump Station Types  
Table 2.3-45. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Pump Station Refurbishment Needs  
Table 2.3-46. Cedar Creek WQTC Treatment Process Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-47. Cedar Creek WQTC Backup Power Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-48. Cedar Creek WQTC Instrumentation and Control Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-49. Cedar Creek WQTC Odor Control Systems Condition Assessment  
Table 2.3-50. Cedar Creek Collection System Odor Control Systems Condition Assessment

Table 2.4-1 Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Recurring SSOs  
Table 2.4-2 Morris Forman WQTC KPDES Permit Limits Performance  
Table 2.4-3 Morris Forman WQTC Class A Biosolids Monitoring Data  
Table 2.4-4 Morris Forman WQTC Class A Biosolids Concentrations Exceeding Kentucky Standard Limits  
Table 2.4-5 Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Recurring SSOs  
Table 2.4-6 Derek R. Guthrie WQTC KPDES Permit Limits Performance  
Table 2.4-7 Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Recurring SSOs  
Table 2.4-8 Hite Creek WQTC KPDES Permit Limits Performance  
Table 2.4-9 Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Recurring SSOs  
Table 2.4-10 Floyds Fork WQTC KPDES Permit Limits Performance  
Table 2.4-11 Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Recurring SSOs  
Table 2.4-12 Cedar Creek WQTC KPDES Permit Limits Performance  
Table 2.4-13 Overflows and Bypasses due to Power Outages

Table 2.5-1. NMC2 Program Element Summary  
Table 2.5-2. NMC3 Opportunities for Modifications  
Table 2.5-3. Summary of NMC5 Activities  
Table 2.5-4. Summary of NMC8 Activities  
Table 2.5-5. Summary of Nine Minimum Controls Program Recommendations  
Table 2.5-6. Environmental Protection Agency Recommended Recreational Water Quality Criteria  
Table 2.5-7. Summary of University of Louisville Sewersheds  
Table 2.5-8. Household Projections  
Table 2.5-9. Residential Flow Projections (MGD)  
Table 2.5-10. Commercial Employment Projections  
Table 2.5-11. Commercial Flow Projections (MGD)  
Table 2.5-12. Industrial Employment Projections  
Table 2.5-13. Industrial Flow Projections (MGD)  
Table 2.5-14. Total Flow Projections (MGD)  
Table 2.5-15. Assessment Projects  
Table 2.5-16. Annual Average Flow Projections for Integrated Overflow Abatement Plan Additional Captured Flow (MGD)  
Table 2.5-17. Annual Average Flow Transfers between Water Quality Treatment Centers (MGD)  
Table 2.5-18. Annual Average Flow Projections (MGD)  
Table 2.5-19. Derek R. Guthrie Flow Projections Comparison  
Table 2.5-20. Hite Creek Flow Projections Comparison  
Table 2.5-21. Floyds Fork Flow Projections Comparison  
Table 2.5-22. Cedar Creek Flow Projections Comparison  
Table 2.5-23. Private Property Infiltration and Inflow Identification Methods  
Table 2.5-24. Common Construction Methods for I/I Remediation  
Table 2.5-25. Infiltration and Inflow Programs  
Table 2.5-26. Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center Impacts



Table 2.5-27. Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-28. Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-29. Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center Impacts

Table 2.5-30. Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-31. Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-32. Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-33. Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-34. Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-35. Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-36. Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-37. Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-38. Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Impacts

Table 2.5-39. Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-40. Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations

Table 2.5-41. Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center and Service Area Critical Components

Table 2.5-42. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC and Service Area Critical Components

Table 2.5-43. Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center and Service Area Critical Components

Table 2.5-44. Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center and Service Area Critical Components

Table 2.5-45. Cedar Creek WQTC and Service Area Critical Components

Table 2.5-46. Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center Upgrade Costs for Microconstituents

Table 2.5-47. Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center Upgrade Costs for Microconstituents

Table 2.5-48. Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Upgrade Costs for Microconstituents

Table 2.5-49. Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center Upgrade Costs for Microconstituents

Table 2.5-50. Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center Upgrade Costs for Microconstituents

Table 2.6-1. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Collection System Projects

Table 2.6-2. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Conveyance Infrastructure Projects

Table 2.6-3. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Combined Sewer Overflow Control Projects

Table 2.6-4. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Combined Sewer Overflow Regulator and Outfall Projects

Table 2.6-5. Morris Forman WQTC Projects

Table 2.6-6. Morris Forman Service WQTC Biosolids Management and Disposal Projects



Table 2.6-7. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Backup Power Projects  
Table 2.6-8. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Odor Control Projects  
Table 2.6-9. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Collection System Projects  
Table 2.6-10. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Conveyance Infrastructure Projects  
Table 2.6-11. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Projects  
Table 2.6-12. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Biosolids Management and Disposal Projects  
Table 2.6-13. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Backup Power Projects  
Table 2.6-14. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Collection System Projects  
Table 2.6-15. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Conveyance Infrastructure Projects  
Table 2.6-16. Hite Creek WQTC Projects  
Table 2.6-17. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Backup Power Projects  
Table 2.6-18. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Collection System Projects  
Table 2.6-19. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Conveyance Infrastructure Projects  
Table 2.6-20. Floyds Fork WQTC Projects  
Table 2.6-21. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Biosolids Management and Disposal Projects  
Table 2.6-22. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Backup Power Projects  
Table 2.6-23. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Collection System Projects  
Table 2.6-24. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Conveyance Infrastructure Projects  
Table 2.6-25. Cedar Creek WQTC Projects  
Table 2.6-26. Cedar Creek Service Area Biosolids Management and Disposal Projects  
Table 2.6-27. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Backup Power Project  
Table 2.6-28. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Odor Control Projects  
Table 2.6-29. Other Collection System Projects  
Table 2.6-30. Other Conveyance Infrastructure Project  
Table 2.6-31. Other Combined Sewer Overflow Controls (Including Real Time Control) Projects  
Table 2.6-32. Other WQTC Projects  
Table 2.6-33. Other Backup Power Projects  
Table 2.6-34. Other Instrumentation and Control Project  
Table 2.6-35. Summary of Projects by Service Area  
Table 2.6-36. Prior Planned Projects that are Still Appropriate  
Table 2.6-37. New Projects Recommended by Gap Analysis  
Table 2.6-38. Summary of Gap Analysis  
Table 2.6-39. Summary of Projects by Program Area  
Table 2.6-40. Summary of Projects by Program or System Area  
Table 2.6-41. New Growth-driven Projects  
Table 2.6-42. New Regulations-driven Projects  
Table 2.6-43. New Improved Efficiencies-Driven Project  
Table 2.6-44. New Over and Above Level of Protection-driven Projects  
Table 2.6-45. New Asset Maintenance and Renewal-driven Projects  
Table 2.6-46. Summary of Gap Analysis

Table 2.7-1. Summary of Impacts on Manholes from Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-2. Estimated Increased Annual Costs to MSD, Various Levels of Lateral Responsibility  
Table 2.7-3. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-4. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-5. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-6. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-7. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Pump Stations Impacted by Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-8. Summary of Impacts on Air Release Valve Manholes from Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-9. Morris Forman WQTC Service Area Critical Pump Stations without Generators  
Table 2.7-10. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Service Area Critical Pump Stations without Generators  
Table 2.7-11. Hite Creek WQTC Service Area Critical Pump Stations without Generators  
Table 2.7-12. Floyds Fork WQTC Service Area Critical Pump Stations without Generators  
Table 2.7-13. Cedar Creek WQTC Service Area Critical Pump Stations without Generators  
Table 2.7-14. Derek R. Guthrie WQTC Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-15. Hite Creek WQTC Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-16. Cedar Creek WQTC Impacts of Rising Flood Elevations  
Table 2.7-17. Odor Control Enhancements by Service Area

Table 2.8-1. Recommended Operating Program Enhancements and Costs  
Table 2.8-2. Projects Not Prioritized—Annual Allocations  
Table 2.8-3. Projects Not Prioritized—Growth  
Table 2.8-4. Projects Not Prioritized—IOAP  
Table 2.8-5. Projects Not Prioritized—Regulatory Requirements  
Table 2.8-6. Projects Not Prioritized—Asset Maintenance  
Table 2.8-7. Project Prioritization by Prioritization Score  
Table 2.8-8. Project Prioritization with Application of Professional Judgement  
Table 2.8-9. Recommended 20-year Wastewater Capital Improvement Plan (all costs \$2016)  
Table 2.8-10. Non-Capital Recommendations  
Table 2.8-11. Recommended Projects – Beyond the 20-year Plan



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	HISTORY AND BACKGROUND.....	1
ES.2	CRITICAL COMPONENTS ASSESSMENT.....	3
	ES.2.1 Stormwater Collection and Conveyance Work Order Reviews.....	3
	ES.2.2 Viaducts Condition Assessment.....	5
	ES.2.3 Class C Dams—Potential Improvements for Consideration.....	5
	ES.2.4 Critical Components Condition Assessment—Conclusions and Recommendations.....	8
ES.3	GAP ANALYSIS.....	9
	ES.3.1 Comprehensive Stormwater Master Planning.....	9
	ES.3.2 Viaduct Flooding Mitigation Projects.....	11
	ES.3.3 Community Rating System.....	11
ES.4	MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM program.....	13
	ES.4.1 Impact of Future Regulations.....	14
	ES.4.2 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Next Steps.....	15
ES.5	INCREASED FREQUENCY OF EXTREME EVENTS.....	16
	ES.5.1 Level of Service Summary.....	16
	ES.5.2 Level of Service Recommendations.....	17
	ES.5.3 Floodplain Impacts Summary.....	18
ES.6	RECOMMENDED PROJECTS.....	19
	ES.6.1 Noncapital Recommendations.....	19
	ES.6.2 Capital Recommendations.....	19

### CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
	1.1.1 History.....	1
	1.1.2 20-Year Stormwater and Drainage Facility Planning Goals.....	3
1.2	STORMWATER AND DRAINAGE DOCUMENTS REVIEWED.....	4
	1.2.1 1988 Stormwater Drainage Master Plan.....	5
	1.2.2 2010 Stormwater Management Master Plan.....	5
	1.2.3 Metropolitan Sewer District Design Manual, Standard Drawings, and AutoCAD Sample Drawings.....	6
	1.2.4 Countywide Flooding Mitigation Prioritization.....	8
	1.2.5 Louisville Metro Hazard Mitigation Plan 2016 Update.....	8
	1.2.6 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System and Green Infrastructure.....	9



---

## CHAPTER 2: CRITICAL COMPONENTS AND DAM ASSESSMENT

2.1	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND .....	1
2.2	OVERVIEW OF CRITICAL COMPONENT ASSETS REVIEWED .....	1
2.3	STORMWATER COLLECTION AND CONVEYANCE ASSETS—ANALYSIS OF WORK ORDERS .....	1
2.3.1	Storm Pipe (All Sizes) .....	3
2.3.2	Catch Basins .....	5
2.3.3	Stormwater Detention Basins .....	7
2.3.4	Channelized Streams .....	8
2.3.5	Natural Streams .....	10
2.4	VIADUCT DRAINAGE SYSTEM CONDITION ASSESSMENT .....	11
2.4.1	Viaducts with Pumping Stations .....	12
2.4.2	General Comments (Viaducts with Pump Stations) .....	13
2.4.3	16th and Algonquin Viaduct Pump Station .....	14
2.4.4	4th and Colorado Viaduct Pump Station .....	15
2.4.5	3rd and Eastern Parkway Viaduct Pump Station .....	15
2.4.6	3rd and L&N Viaduct Pump Station .....	16
2.4.7	Brandeis Viaduct .....	16
2.4.8	Floyd and Hill Viaduct .....	17
2.4.9	Viaducts Without Pump Stations .....	17
2.5	CLASS C DAMS ASSESSMENT AND PUBLIC WARNING SYSTEMS .....	18
2.5.1	Background .....	18
2.5.2	Current Inspection Practices .....	21
2.5.3	Potential Improvements for Consideration .....	23
2.6	CRITICAL COMPONENTS CONDITION ASSESSMENT—CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	24

## CHAPTER 3: DEFICIENCIES AND LEVEL OF SERVICE GAPS IN MAJOR COMPONENTS

3.1	COMPREHENSIVE STORMWATER MASTER PLANNING .....	1
3.1.1	Background .....	1
3.1.2	Recommendations for Plan Execution .....	4
3.2	VIADUCT FLOODING MITIGATION PROJECTS .....	5
3.2.1	Existing Studies .....	7
3.2.2	Planning Methodology .....	7
3.3	COMMUNITY RATING SYSTEM .....	11
3.3.1	Community Rating System Program Recent History .....	12
3.3.2	Community Rating System Program Moving Forward .....	13

## CHAPTER 4: MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM PROGRAM

4.1	MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM OVERVIEW AND GOALS OF THIS CHAPTER .....	1
4.2	FUTURE MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM REGULATORY IMPACTS ANALYSIS ON POST-CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS .....	2
4.2.1	Impact of Future Regulations .....	2
4.2.2	Preliminary Watershed Inventory and Analysis .....	8






---

4.2.3	Next Steps .....	11
<b>CHAPTER 5: INCREASED FREQUENCY OF EXTREME EVENTS</b>		
5.1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
5.2	LEVEL OF SERVICE AND DESIGN CRITERIA EVALAUTION .....	1
5.2.1	Return Interval Definition .....	1
5.2.2	Current Design Storm Return Intervals used at Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District .....	2
5.2.3	Rainfall Statistics .....	4
5.2.4	Conclusions and Recommendations .....	11
5.3	SUMMARY OF CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS ON FLOODPLAINS .....	12
5.3.1	Floodplains in Jefferson County .....	12
5.3.2	Federal Directives on Climate Change .....	14
5.3.3	Climate Change Hydrology .....	14
5.3.4	Effects on Floodplains in Jefferson County .....	15
5.3.5	Results—Effects of Higher Water Surface Elevations relative to Primary Structures .....	16
5.3.6	Countywide Extrapolation and Its Cost .....	17
5.3.7	Summary .....	19
5.3.8	Recommendations .....	19
5.4	DRAINAGE PROBLEM AREA IDENTIFICATION—EXTREME STORM EVENTS .....	20
5.4.1	Summary of Cost Estimates Recommendations .....	20
5.4.2	Extreme Storm Events—Conclusions and Recommendations .....	21
<b>CHAPTER 6: PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND PRIORITIZATION</b>		
6.1	PROJECT DEVELOPMENT .....	1
6.2	EARLY ACTION PLAN PROJECTS .....	1
6.2.1	Pilot Area Analysis Procedures .....	2
6.2.2	Hydrologic Analysis and Accounting for Existing Storm Sewers .....	3
6.2.3	Hydraulic Analysis .....	4
6.2.4	Louisville and Jefferson County Information Consortium Mapping .....	4
6.2.5	Potential Solutions .....	5
6.2.6	Results for the Six Pilot Project Areas .....	7
6.2.7	Summary of Project Costs .....	13
6.2.8	10-Percent Annual Chance (10-Year) Storm Level of Service Countywide Extrapolation .....	13
6.2.9	Summary of Modeling Assumptions .....	14
6.2.10	Pilot Area Analysis—Conclusions and Recommendations .....	14
6.2.11	2015 Extreme Storm Event Areas .....	15
6.3	PROJECTS IDENTIFIED FROM THE GAP ANALYSIS .....	15
6.4	PROJECTS FROM THE 2016 COUNTYWIDE FLOOD MITIGATION PRIORITIZATION REPORT .....	17
6.5	PROJECTS FROM THE LOUISVILLE METRO HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN 2016 UPDATE .....	17
6.6	OTHER BUDGETARY LINE ITEM RECOMMENDATIONS .....	18
6.6.1	Floodplain Buyouts (“Flood Response Fund”) .....	18



6.6.2	Stormwater Master Plan.....	18
6.6.3	EPA Community Solutions for Stormwater Management: A Guide for Voluntary Long-Term Planning.....	18
6.6.4	Drainage Response Initiative Budgeting.....	19
6.6.5	MS4 Program and Basin Retrofit Projects.....	19
6.7	SCORING, PRIORITIZATION, AND SCHEDULING.....	20
6.7.1	Summary.....	20
6.7.2	Application of Best Professional Judgment.....	23
6.8	RECOMMENDED PROJECTS.....	23
6.8.1	Noncapital Recommendations.....	23
6.8.2	Capital Recommendations.....	25

## REFERENCES

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Appendixes (provided on CD)

Appendix 3.A Rainfall Scale Factors

Appendix 3.B Viaduct Cost Tables

Appendix 3.C Future MS4 Regulatory Impacts Analysis on Post-Construction Requirements

Appendix 3.D Flood Maps for CSSA, Pond Creek, and South Fork Beargrass Creek

Appendix 3.E Flood Profiles for Pond Creek and South Fork Beargrass Creek

Appendix 3.F Extreme Storm Analysis and Design Methodology

Appendix 3.G Extreme Storm Analysis Meeting Minutes

Appendix 3.H Potential Projects Area Map and Selection Matrix

Appendix 3.I Selected Project Locations and Existing Conditions Mapping

Appendix 3.J Extreme Storms Inundation and Solution Maps

Appendix 3.K Extreme Storms County-Wide Extrapolation Calculations

Appendix 3.L HEC-RASv5 Methods to Account for Underground Conveyance

Appendix 3.M 10-Year Inundation and Solution Maps

Appendix 3.N 10-Year County-Wide Extrapolation Calculations

Attachment

Project Fact Sheets

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES-1. Catch Basins Age and the Effects on the Number of Work Orders

Figure ES-2. Storm Pipes Cost per Work Order per Year (Adjusted for Inflation)

Figure ES-3. Status of Viaducts with Pump Stations

Figure ES-4. Rainfall Trends 1949 to 2015

Figure 3.1-1. MSD Design Manual, Section 10.2.2.1.

Figure 3.1-2. IDF Curves Used in the Design of Small-Scale Conveyance Systems

Figure 3.1-3. Stormwater Depths Used in Large-Scale Drainage Studies

- Figure 3.2-1. Storm Pipe Age and the Effects on the Number of Work Orders  
Figure 3.2-2. Storm Pipes (All Sizes): Cost per Work Order per Year (Adjusted for Inflation)  
Figure 3.2-3. Storm Pipes (All Sizes): Total Work Order Cost per Year (Adjusted for Inflation)  
Figure 3.2-4. Effect of Age on the Number of Work Orders for Catch Basins  
Figure 3.2-5. Cost per Work Order per Year for Catch Basins (Adjusted for Inflation)  
Figure 3.2-6. Total Cost of Catch Basin Work Orders per Year (Adjusted for Inflation)  
Figure 3.2-7. Effect of Age on the Number of Work Orders for Detention Basins  
Figure 3.2-8. Total Cost of Work Orders per Year (2008-2014), Inspection Work Orders Not Included  
Figure 3.2-9. Effect of Age on the Number of Work Orders for Channelized Streams  
Figure 3.2-10. Cost per Work Order per Year for Channelized Streams  
Figure 3.2-11. Total Cost for Work Orders for Channelized Streams  
Figure 3.2-12 . Cost per Work Order Per Year for Natural Streams  
Figure 3.2-13 . Total Cost of Work Orders for Natural Streams Per Year  
Figure 3.2-14. Viaduct Type and Location  
Figure 3.2-15. Status of Viaducts with Pump Stations
- Figure 3.3-1. Capital Projects recommended in the 1988 Stormwater Drainage Master Plan  
Figure 3.3-2. Floyd and Hill Street Viaduct after August 1992 Deadly Event  
Figure 3.3-3. Viaduct at 3rd Street and Eastern Parkway  
Figure 3.3-4. Location of MSD-Owned Viaducts
- Figure 3.5-1. Intensity, Duration, and Frequency curves in current MSD Design Manual  
Figure 3.5-2. Intensity, Duration, and Frequency Comparison for Five Different Rainfall Scenarios for the 10-Minute Duration Storm  
Figure 3.5-3. Intensity, Duration, and Frequency Comparison for Five Different Rainfall Scenarios for the 30-Minute Duration Storm  
Figure 3.5-4. Intensity, Duration, and Frequency Comparison for Five Different Rainfall Scenarios for the 60-Minute Duration Storm
- Figure 3.6-1. Early Action Plan Project Locations  
Figure 3.6-2. Comparison of the 10-year Hyetograph with the Hyetograph minus a percentage of the two-year storm  
Figure 3.6-3. Comparison of the TP-40 and 2035 projected storm event inundation areas

#### LIST OF TABLES

- Table ES-1. Class C – High-Hazard Dams in Jefferson County Owned by MSD  
Table ES-2. Class C – High-Hazard Dams in Jefferson County Owned by Others  
Table ES-3. Class C – High-Hazard Dams Potential Improvements  
Table ES-4. Noncapital Stormwater and Drainage Recommendations  
Table ES-5. Recommended Projects
- Table 3.2-1. Status of Viaducts  
Table 3.2-2. Class C-High Hazard Dams in Jefferson County Owned by MSD

Table 3.2-3. Class C-High Hazard Dams in Jefferson County Owned by Others

Table 3.2-4. Class C High-Hazard Dams Owned by MSD in Jefferson County—MSD Practice vs. Industry Standards

Table 3.2-5. Class C High-Hazard Dams Potential Improvements

Table 3.3-1. Cost Scale Factors from the Baseline Condition (TP-40 rainfall)

Table 3.3-2. Summary of Viaduct Flood Relief Costs (2016 Dollars)

Table 3.3-3. Community Rating System Classes, Credit Points, and Premium Discounts

Table 3.3-4. Community Rating System Program Evaluation—Summary of Community Rating System Activities

Table 3.3-5. Community Rating System Program Evaluation—Strategy to Achieve a Class 2 Rating

Table 3.3-6. Community Rating System Program Evaluation—Recommended Projects to Achieve a Class 2 Rating

Table 3.4-1. MSD Current MS4 Compliance Costs Based on FY2015 Budget Review

Table 3.4-2. Potential Cost Implications of Retrofit Requirements Based on Project Spending by Prince George’s County, Maryland

Table 3.4-3. Washington D.C.’s MS4 Spending Analysis

Table 3.4-4. Projected Cost Implications of Potential Future Regulations Based on Projected Spending by Washington D.C.

Table 3.4-5. Jefferson County Watersheds—Summary of Size and System Type

Table 3.5-1. Common Ways to Express the Frequency of Rainfall Events

Table 3.5-2. Design Storm Return Interval from the MSD Design Manual

Table 3.5-3. Comparison of Jefferson County, Kentucky, Rainfall Depths Amounts (inches) for a 24-hour Storm Duration by Rainfall Atlas

Table 3.5-4. Comparison of Jefferson County, Kentucky, Rainfall Depth Amounts (inches) for 24-hour Storm Duration by Rainfall Atlas

Table 3.5-5. Updated NOAA Atlas 14 Rainfall Intensities (in/hr) for Given Duration and Frequency

Table 3.5-6. 2035 Rainfall Intensities (in/hr) for Given Duration and Frequency

Table 3.5-7. 2065 Rainfall Intensities (in/hr) for Given Duration and Frequency

Table 3.5-8. Rainfall Intensity Comparison—10-Minute Duration (in/hr)

Table 3.5-9. Rainfall Intensity Comparison—30-Minute Duration (in/hr)

Table 3.5-10. Rainfall Intensity Comparison—60-Minute Duration (in/hr)

Table 3.5-11. Comparison of Jefferson County, Kentucky, Intensity, Duration, and Frequency Amounts (inches) for 24-Hour Storm Duration by Rainfall Atlas

Table 3.5-12. Results from the updated Hydrology and Hydraulic Modeling in the Pond Creek and South Fork Beargrass Creek Watersheds

Table 3.5-13. Summary of the Number of Primary Structures Affected

Table 3.5-14. Summary of the Number of Primary Structures Affected

Table 3.5-15. Property Valuation Administration Costing Basis (based on 11,044 structures)

Table 3.5-16. Costs Associated with Property Buyouts—All Structures in the Floodplain

Table 3.5-17. Costs Associated with Property Buyouts—Most Severely Affected Structures in the Floodplain

Table 3.5-18. Summary of Extreme Event Costs for Each Project Area

Table 3.6-1. Comparison of Jefferson County, Kentucky, Rainfall Depth Amounts (Inches) for 24-Hour Storm Duration by Rainfall Atlas

Table 3.6-2. Percentages of Storm Sewers for Study Areas

Table 3.6-3. Pope Lick Area

Table 3.6-4. Seatonville Area

Table 3.6-5. Whispering Hills Area

Table 3.6-6. Newburg Area

Table 3.6-7. Auburndale Area

Table 3.6-8. Valley Creek Area

Table 3.6-9. Project Areas Costs

Table 3.6-10. Summary of Viaduct Flood Relief Costs (2016 Dollars)

Table 3.6-11. Preliminary Project Prioritization by Prioritization Score

Table 3.6-12. Noncapital Stormwater and Drainage Recommendations

Table 3.6-13. Recommended Projects



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	BACKGROUND.....	1
ES.2	CURRENT LEVEL OF PROTECTION .....	3
ES.3	GAP ANALYSIS .....	4
ES.4	RECOMMENDED PROJECTS .....	6

### CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1	PURPOSE AND SCOPE .....	1
1.2	FLOOD CONTROL ACT .....	1
1.3	HISTORY OF THE OHIO RIVER FLOOD PROTECTION SYSTEM.....	1
	1.3.1 Pre-1937 Flooding.....	2
	1.3.2 The Great Flood of 1937 .....	2
	1.3.3 Post-World War II .....	3
	1.3.4 1950s U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Response .....	3
	1.3.5 1970s U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Response .....	3
	1.3.6 Nonfederal Levees .....	4
1.4	AGREEMENTS.....	4
	1.4.1 Regulations for Operations and Maintenance.....	4
	1.4.2 Local Sponsor Agreements .....	4
	1.4.3 Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District Lease, Sublease, and Maintenance Agreements .....	5
	1.4.4 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Flood Protection Operations and Maintenance Manuals ..	5
1.5	REPORTS, STUDIES, AND PLANS.....	6
	1.5.1 Strategic Business Plan .....	6
	1.5.2 Integrated Overflow Abatement Plan.....	7
	1.5.3 Capital Improvement Plan .....	7
1.6	CURRENT REGULATORY DRIVERS .....	8
	1.6.1 Federal Emergency Management Agency .....	8
	1.6.2 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers .....	10
	1.6.3 Other Regulations.....	10
1.7	FUTURE REGULATORY DRIVERS.....	11
	1.7.1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers .....	11
	1.7.2 Federal Directives on Climate Changes .....	12
	1.7.3 Risk Assessment .....	12

### CHAPTER 2: LEVEL OF FLOOD PROTECTION

2.1	CURRENT OPERATIONS.....	1
	2.1.1 Present Pumping Capabilities .....	1



	2.1.2 Past Flooding Experiences .....	2
	2.1.3 Impact of Recent Precipitation Events.....	4
2.2	CURRENT LEVEL OF FLOOD PROTECTION .....	5
	2.2.1 Level of Development Assumed .....	5
	2.2.2 Rainfall Atlas Assumptions.....	6
	2.2.3 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Design Assumptions.....	6
	2.2.4 Flood Pump Operational Data .....	6
2.3	STORM ATLAS AND EXTREME STORM FREQUENCY IMPACTS ON CURRENT EVENT CRITERIA .....	8
	2.3.1 Rainfall Atlas Updates .....	8
	2.3.2 Extreme Storm Frequency Changes.....	9
	2.3.3 Level of Development Considerations.....	10
	2.3.4 Federal Directives on Climate Changes .....	10
	2.3.5 Impacts to Level of Protection.....	10
2.4	LEVEL OF PROTECTION FOR EVALUATION AND MODELING.....	11
	2.4.1 Selecting Level of Protection Design Criteria.....	11
	2.4.2 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling.....	12

**CHAPTER 3: FLOOD PUMPING STATIONS**

3.1	REPORTS, STUDIES, AND PLANS.....	1
	3.1.1 Original Drawings and Specifications.....	1
	3.1.2 1989 Evaluation of Mechanical, Electrical, and Structural Components.....	1
	3.1.3 2004 Evaluation of Flood Pumping Stations .....	2
	3.1.4 2014 Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District Flood Pumping Station Generator Analysis.....	2
	3.1.5 2014 Flood Protection System Emergency Preparedness Plan .....	2
	3.1.6 2015 Levee System Evaluation .....	3
	3.1.7 Other Information.....	3
3.2	CONDITION ASSESSMENTS .....	3
	3.2.1 Beargrass Creek Flood Pumping Station.....	4
	3.2.2 Robert J. Starkey Flood Pumping Station.....	5
	3.2.3 Bingham Way Flood Pumping Station .....	7
	3.2.4 4th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	7
	3.2.5 5th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	8
	3.2.6 10th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	10
	3.2.7 17th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	11
	3.2.8 27th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	12
	3.2.9 34th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	13
	3.2.10 Shawnee Park Flood Pumping Station.....	14
	3.2.11 Western Parkway Flood Pumping Station .....	16
	3.2.12 Paddy's Run Flood Pumping Station.....	17
	3.2.13 Upper Mill Creek Flood Pumping Station .....	18
	3.2.14 Riverport Flood Pumping Station.....	19
	3.2.15 Lower Mill Creek Flood Pumping Station.....	20



	3.2.16 Pond Creek Flood Pumping Station .....	21
3.3	SECONDARY POWER .....	22
	3.3.1 Existing Electrical Plan Review .....	22
	3.3.2 2014 Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District Flood Pumping Station Generator Analysis Report.....	23
	3.3.3 Redundant Electrical Utility Service.....	30
	3.3.4 Peak Shaving .....	31
	3.3.5 Summary of Conditions .....	31
3.4	GAP ANALYSIS .....	32
	3.4.1 Regulatory Forecast .....	32
	3.4.2 Planned Projects Not Requiring Change .....	32
	3.4.3 Planned Projects Requiring Change .....	33
	3.4.4 New Projects.....	34
3.5	ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION .....	35
	3.5.1 Beargrass Creek Flood Pumping Station.....	36
	3.5.2 Robert J. Starkey Flood Pumping Station.....	46
	3.5.3 Bingham Way Flood Pumping Station .....	48
	3.5.4 4th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	50
	3.5.5 5th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	53
	3.5.6 10th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	55
	3.5.7 17th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	57
	3.5.8 27th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	60
	3.5.9 34th Street Flood Pumping Station.....	62
	3.5.10 Shawnee Park Flood Pumping Station.....	65
	3.5.11 Western Parkway Flood Pumping Station .....	67
	3.5.12 Paddy's Run Flood Pumping Station .....	69
	3.5.13 Upper Mill Creek Flood Pumping Station .....	72
	3.5.14 Riverport Flood Pumping Station.....	74
	3.5.15 Lower Mill Creek Flood Pumping Station.....	75
	3.5.16 Pond Creek Flood Pumping Station .....	77
3.6	SCORING, PRIORITIZATION, AND SCHEDULING .....	78
	3.6.1 Level of Protection Selection .....	78
	3.6.2 Risk-Based Cost-Benefit Prioritization .....	79
	3.6.3 Technical Workshop.....	81
<b>CHAPTER 4: FLOODWALLS AND LEVEES</b>		
4.1	REPORTS, STUDIES, AND PLANS.....	1
	4.1.1 Original Drawings and Specifications.....	1
	4.1.2 Planning Documents .....	1
	4.1.3 Other Reports, Studies, and Plans .....	2
4.2	FIELD INVESTIGATIONS .....	5
	4.2.1 Levees and Crossings .....	5
	4.2.2 Floodwalls .....	5





4.2.3	Floodgates.....	5
4.2.4	Closures.....	6
4.2.5	Interconnections with Existing Structures.....	6
4.3	CURRENT REGULATORY DRIVERS .....	6
4.3.1	Current Design Criteria .....	6
4.3.2	Hydraulic Steel Structures.....	7
4.4	GAP ANALYSIS .....	8
4.4.1	Regulatory Forecast .....	8
4.4.2	Planned Projects Not Requiring Change .....	8
4.4.3	Planned Projects Requiring Change.....	9
4.4.4	New Projects.....	9
4.5	ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION .....	11
4.5.1	One-Time or Nonannual Projects .....	12
4.5.2	Annual Repair and Replacement Allocations.....	12

#### CHAPTER 5: EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PLAN

5.1	CURRENT SITUATION .....	1
5.2	RECOMMENDED PROJECTS .....	1

#### REFERENCES

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Appendixes (provided on CD)

Appendix 4A Analysis of Flood Pumping Stations by Heritage Engineering

Appendix 4B Schedule of Projects and Allocations

Attachment

Project Fact Sheets

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1. Population, Buildings, and Acreage Protected by the Ohio River Flood Protection System

Table ES-2. Current Modeled Pumping Rates and Level of Protection

Table ES-3. Pumping Rates to Meet LOP 5

Table ES-4. Final Recommended 20-Year Capital Improvement Plan for ORFPS

Table 4.2-1. Datum and Gauge Relationships

Table 4.2-2. Flood Pumping Stations

Table 4.2-3. Peak Historical Floods (1956 to Present)

Table 4.2-4. Flood Pumping Stations

Table 4.2-5. Comparison of Jefferson County, Kentucky Intensity, Duration, and Frequency Amounts (inches) for a 24-hour Storm Duration by Rainfall Atlas

Table 4.2-6. Comparison of Jefferson County, Kentucky Intensity, Duration, and Frequency Amounts (inches) for 24-hour Storm Duration by Rainfall Atlas



Table 4.2-7. Current Modeled Pumping Rates and Level of Protection

Table 4.2-8. Proposed Pumping Rates for LOPs 3, 4, and 5

Table 4.3-1. Site Information .....	24
Table 4.3-2. Diesel Fuel Cost Analysis .....	26
Table 4.3-3. Utility Cost Analysis .....	28
Table 4.3-4. Planned Projects Not Requiring Change .....	32
Table 4.3-5. Planned Projects Requiring Change .....	33
Table 4.3-6. New Projects .....	34
Table 4.3-7. Beargrass Creek Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	36
Table 4.3-8. Beargrass Creek Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	38
Table 4.3-9. Robert J. Starkey Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	39
Table 4.3-10. Robert J. Starkey Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	40
Table 4.3-11. Bingham Way Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	41
Table 4.3-12. Bingham Way Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	43
Table 4.3-13. 4th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	43
Table 4.3-14. 4th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	45
Table 4.3-15. 5th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	46
Table 4.3-16. 5th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	47
Table 4.3-17. 10th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	48
Table 4.3-18. 10th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	49
Table 4.3-19. 17th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	50
Table 4.3-20. 17th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	52
Table 4.3-21. 27th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	55
Table 4.3-22. 34th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	56
Table 4.3-23. 34th Street Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	57
Table 4.3-24. Shawnee Park Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	59
Table 4.3-25. Western Parkway Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	59
Table 4.3-26. Western Parkway Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	61
Table 4.3-27. Paddy’s Run Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Planned Projects .....	62
Table 4.3-28. Paddy’s Run Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	64
Table 4.3-29. Upper Mill Creek Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	66
Table 4.3-30. Riverport Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	67
Table 4.3-31. Lower Mill Creek Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	69
Table 4.3-32. Pond Creek Flood Pumping Station Planning-Level Costs—Alternative Projects .....	70
Table 4.3-33. Preliminary Project Prioritization by Prioritization Score .....	72
Table 4.4-1. Planned Projects Not Requiring Change	
Table 4.4-2. One-Time or Nonannual Projects	
Table 4.4-3. Annual Repair and Replacement Allocations	



---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
ES.2	FACILITIES.....	1
ES.3	PLANNING AND DOCUMENT REVIEW.....	1
ES.4	WALK THROUGH AND ASSESSMENT.....	3
ES.5	ANALYSIS.....	3
ES.6	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	4
ES.7	PROPERTY.....	6
ES.8	MOWING.....	7
ES.9	ENERGY CONSERVATION.....	8
ES.10	SUMMARY OF NONCAPITAL RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9

### CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE AND SCOPE

1.1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2	FACILITIES.....	1
1.3	PROPERTY.....	1
1.4	MOWING.....	2
1.5	ENERGY CONSERVATION.....	2

### CHAPTER 2: FACILITIES

2.1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.2	REVIEW OF EXISTING DOCUMENTS.....	2
2.3	ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE.....	3
2.4	DETERMINATION OF FACILITIES.....	6
2.5	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT.....	15
2.6	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS.....	15
2.7	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	16
2.7.1	Programmatic Recommendations.....	16
2.7.2	Annual Maintenance Activities Recommendations.....	26
2.7.3	Capital Improvement Recommendations.....	26

### CHAPTER 3: PROPERTY

3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
3.2	MSD PROPERTY INVENTORY ASSESSMENT.....	1
3.2.1	Research and Findings.....	2
3.2.2	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	6
3.3	SURPLUS PROPERTY.....	7
3.3.1	Research and Findings.....	7
3.3.2	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	9
3.4	FUTURE PROPERTY NEEDS.....	13



3.5 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS..... 14

CHAPTER 4: MOWING INVENTORY ASSESSMENT

4.1 INTRODUCTION..... 1  
 4.2 RESEARCH AND FINDINGS..... 1  
     4.2.1 Previous Studies and Reports ..... 1  
     4.2.2 Current Mowing Contracts ..... 2  
     4.2.3 Louisville and Jefferson County Information Consortium ..... 4  
     4.2.4 Surplus Properties..... 6  
 4.3 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... 7  
     4.3.1 Geographic Location of Assets..... 8  
     4.3.2 Best Management Practices ..... 8  
     4.3.3 Mowing Cycles ..... 8  
     4.3.4 Decommissioned Facilities..... 9  
     4.3.5 Memorandum of Understanding..... 10

CHAPTER 5: ENERGY CONSERVATION

5.1 INTRODUCTION..... 1  
 5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 2  
     5.2.1 Existing Buildings ..... 2  
     5.2.2 New Construction ..... 5  
     5.2.3 Energy Saving Strategies to Avoid in Special Circumstances..... 12  
 5.3 INCENTIVES ..... 13  
     5.3.1 Nationwide Programs ..... 13  
     5.3.2 State Programs..... 14  
     5.3.3 Local Programs..... 14  
 5.4 RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 14

REFERENCES

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- Appendixes (Provided on CD)
- Appendix 5.A Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) Forms
- Appendix 5.B Property Fact Sheets (No Errata)
- Appendix 5.C Property Fact Sheets (Table 5.3-2)
- Appendix 5.D Property Fact Sheets (Table 5.3-3)
- Appendix 5.E Property Fact Sheets (Table 5.3-5)
- Appendix 5.F Property Fact Sheets (Table 5.3-6)
- Appendix 5.G Property Fact Sheets (Surplus Property)

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 5.2-1. Typical Cool Roof
- Figure 5.2-2. Green Roof at Develop Louisville/Louisville Forward, 444 North 5th Street
- Figure 5.3-1. Graphical Representation of Comparisons

## LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1. Facility Annual Items
Table ES-2. Facility Capital Projects
Table ES-3. Surplus Property
Table ES-4. Properties MSD Mows and Does Not Own
Table ES-5. Summary of Noncapital Recommendations
Table 5.2-1. Summary Number of Buildings included in Facility Condition Assessment
Table 5.2-2. Real-time Control and Regional Basins with Facility Condition Assessment Performed
Table 5.2-3. Flood Pump Stations with Facility Condition Assessment Performed
Table 5.2-4. Storm Pump Stations with Facility Condition Assessment Performed
Table 5.2-5. Regional WQTCs with Facility Condition Assessment Performed
Table 5.2-6. Sanitary Pump Stations (BGR) with Facility Condition Assessment Performed
Table 5.2-7. Sanitary Pump Stations (BLDG) with Facility Condition Assessment Performed
Table 5.2-8. Flood Closure Storage Vaults with Facility Condition Assessment Performed
Table 5.2-9. Programmatic Recommendations
Table 5.2-10. Summary of Annual Activities Recommendations
Table 5.2-11. Summary of Capital Improvement Recommendations
Table 5.2-12. Recommended 20-Year Facility Plan Annual Items
Table 5.2-13. Recommended 20-Year Facility Plan Capital Improvement Program
Table 5.3-1. Names Under which MSD Previously Purchased Property
Table 5.3-2. MSD Properties in the Real Estate Master File that Did Not Appear in the MSDOWNED Dataset
Table 5.3-3. MSD Properties Identified in Grantee Search
Table 5.3-4. Discrepancies in MSDOWNED Dataset
Table 5.3-5. Discrepancies in Property Valuation Administrator Dataset
Table 5.3-6. Grantor Search—Properties with Verified Ownership
Table 5.3-7. Apparent Reason for Property Acquisition
Table 5.3-8. Surplus Property Categories
Table 5.3-9. Potential Surplus Properties—Retired Facilities Properties
Table 5.3-10. Potential Surplus Properties— Properties Conveyed by Metro for Maintenance
Table 5.3-11. Potential Surplus Properties— Flood Mitigation Properties
Table 5.3-12. Potential Surplus Properties—Conveyance Properties
Table 5.3-13. Potential Surplus Properties —Facilities Properties
Table 5.3-14. Recommended Noncapital Projects
Table 5.4-1. Summary of Mowing Contracts (March 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015)
Table 5.4-2. Properties MSD Mows and Does Not Own
Table 5.4-3. Potential Surplus Properties Maintained by MSD
Table 5.4-4. Summary of Decommissioned WTQCs
Table 5.4-5. Summary of Additional Decommissioned Facilities



Table 5.4-6. Noncapital Project Recommendations

Table 5.5-1. Energy Savings Strategies for Existing Buildings

Table 5.5-2. Noncapital Energy Conservation Improvement Recommendations